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ABSTRACT:

Our aim was to evaluate the influence of visual impairment on the quality of life of patients reporting at the low vision centre of the Eastern Regional Hospital in Koforidua. The World Health Organization Quality Of Life (WHOQOL-Bref) which assesses quality of life in four main domains was administered to two hundred and ninety four (294) patients who visited the low vision centre of the Eastern Regional Hospital, for various eye services. Additional information on patient demographics and their visual acuities were obtained. The mean quality of life scores were determined for the visually and non-visually impaired. 86(29.3%) of the participants were visually impaired (presenting vision worse than 6/18). A significant difference in mean age was realized between subjects who were visually impaired (53.7 ± 18.4) (mean ± SD) and subjects who were not visually impaired (34.3 ± 13.1) (p = 0.001). The visually impaired participants had a lower quality of life scores in all four domains of quality of life naming, environmental, physical, social and psychological with 7.5% unadjusted reduction in overall quality of life.

Visual impairment is associated with significant reduction in different quality of life domains for this population. Quality of life is poorer with increasing severity of visual impairment.
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Introduction

Visual impairment refers to loss of vision due to blindness and or low vision state of a person, which cannot be corrected by conventional ophthalmic therapy such as refractive correction, use of medication or surgery and consequently affects visual capabilities. The World Health Organization expands this definition by including that, persons with visual impairment have a visual acuity of less than 6/18 up to light – perception, and may also have a visual field of less than ten degrees (10°) which they use or can potentially use for the planning and or execution of visual tasks. Many visually impaired persons have some form of residual (low) vision that may be sufficient for their visual needs upon receiving some form visual rehabilitation and expert clinical counseling.

Global estimates of visual impairment as of 2012 were 285 million (39 million blind people versus 246 people with low vision). Some 80% - 90% of world’s visually impaired people live in third world countries. Reports from Sub – Saharan Africa show that, 3% of Ghana’s population is visually impaired from a number of causes. This underscores visual impairment as an enormous public health problem, particularly in the developing world.

The causes of visual impairment are many and varied; some of them are present at birth and others acquired later in life. Congenital conditions namely, glaucoma, amblyopia, cataract, nystagmus and malformation of the visual system have been implicated in visual impairment. Some other cited causes of visual impairment include ocular injuries; age related diseases such as age related macular degeneration (ARMD), diabetic retinopathy and other forms of degenerative eye diseases.

Individuals who are visually impaired experience a significant impact on their quality of life which may vary per age. The visually impaired child may suffer delayed development of gross and fine motor skills as well as perception. Certain studies mention that, the life span of a visually impaired person could be significantly affected. Apart from these, impaired vision may cause disabilities by interfering with a person’s ability to function independently – depriving them of their ability to perform everyday tasks such as traveling or navigating safely in one’s environment.

Other people may suffer from visual impairment – specific problems including but not limited to difficulty in recognizing faces, inability in reading standard – sized prints, challenges with driving or using other forms of vehicular transport. For some people, visual impairment may deprive of them their ability to pursue leisure. Generally, visual impairment is thought to negatively affect the mental and physical well – being of affected individuals.

While clinical evaluation of visual impairment is helpful in quantifying the extent of vision loss, relating visual impairment to its impact on the quality of life (QoL) and functional ability also proves useful – in providing a complete picture of the burden of visual impairment that is beyond conventional methods of clinical evaluation. Outcome of quality of life assessments can help in choosing suitable management or modifying existing management plans for people with various degrees of visual impairment; either by prescription of low vision aids or other visual rehabilitation programs.

Herein, was an attempt to evaluate the influence of visual impairment on the quality of life of patients (Continued on page 3)
reporting at the Low Vision Centre of the Eastern Regional Hospital in Koforidua, Ghana.

Methods

This study was a descriptive cross-sectional survey. We employed the convenience sampling technique in the selection of the participants who comprised all consenting persons aged 14 years and above reporting at the Low Vision Centre of the Eastern Regional Hospital from January 2014 to March 2014 were enlisted in the study.

Data relevant to the study were gathered using an interviewer–administered questionnaire. We adopted the WHO’s Quality of Life assessment tool and created additional sections to collect information on participants’ age, gender, occupation, cause of visual impairment and their presenting visual acuities. We analyzed data collected using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS v 20.0) software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Graphs were plotted using Microsoft Excel version 2010. Descriptive statistics were employed. Test of associations were performed. Differences between comparable groups were studied using Independent sample t-test and p – <0.05 was considered significant.

Classification of Visual Impairment

The World Health Organization’s (WHO) International Classification of Diseases definition of VI as a visual acuity less than 6/18 was used to select the participants for the study. The degree of visual impairment was classified using the WHO ICD-10 classification as presented in the table 1.

Ethical Consideration

Permission was sought from the hospital administration for the survey to be carried out in the hospital. Informed consent was also sought from the patients before they were enlisted as participants of the research. All of the study protocol was thoroughly explained to participants. The study conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORY OF VI</th>
<th>CORRECTED VA (Better Eye)</th>
<th>DEGREE OF VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6/6 – 6/18</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>less than 6/18 – 6/60</td>
<td>Moderate Visual Impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>less than 6/60 – 3/60</td>
<td>Severe Visual Impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>less than 3/60 – 1/60</td>
<td>Blind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>less than 1/60 – PLβ</td>
<td>Blind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NPLβ</td>
<td>Blind</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. WHO’s Classification of Visual Impairment based on Severity

Description of the WHO’S Quality of Life Instrument

The WHOQOL-BREF contains a total of 26 questions that provides a broad and comprehensive assessment of each individual facet relating to quality of life. One question addresses one item from each of the 24 facets of quality of life. These are grouped into four domains of quality of life which are Physical, Psychological, Social and Environmental. In addition, two items from the Overall quality of Life and General Health facet are included. Items of the questionnaire were answered in the following manner: “how satisfied,” “amount of time”, “amount of difficulty,” and “how often” they experienced certain things within the last two weeks before the day of the interview. Quality of life scores were computed on a scale of 20 and converted to a score between 0 and 100 with higher scores indicating better quality of life.
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Results

Participants’ Demographics and Distribution of Visual Characteristics

Overall, we interviewed 294 patients (males = 53.1%, females = 46.9%) visiting the said Low Vision Center for various ophthalmic services. Participants presented various degrees of visual impairment. The mean age recorded for all participants was 39.99 ± 17.26 years. We did not detect a significant difference between the mean ages of both the male and female participants [t = -1.172, p = 0.242]. There was a significant difference between the mean age of the normal sighted participants (34.31 ±13.08) and that of the visually impaired participants (53.74±18.43). A significant difference in age was realized between subjects who were visually impaired and subjects who were not visually impaired [t = -8.899, p = 0.001]. Some 29.3% (86 out of the total 294) were visually impaired – presenting with a visual acuity of 6/18 or worse in the better eye. Tables 2 and 3 show the age and gender distribution of the participants and how visual acuity and the degree visual impairment distribute among them, respectively.

Visual impairment was distributed differently among the various age group of the study respondents. Table 4 provides a summary of this finding. The study participants (visually impaired and non – visually impaired) belonged to different working groups. Some of them were teachers, nurses, and physiotherapists. Students formed 25.2% of the total number of respondents and 10.90% of the total number of respondents had no form of work (this included 30.32% of the visually impaired individuals) while the rest belonged to either of the mentioned occupations. Figure 1 shows the how the different occupations distributed among the participants.

Level of Education of Participants

The level of formal education attained, differed for each respondent sub-group (visually impaired vs. non – visually impaired); 28% of the non-visualy impaired 28% had no formal education whiles 14% had completed tertiary education. For the visually impaired persons, 35% of them had no formal education (or less than the Junior Secondary School (JSS) or Middle School Leaving Certificate (MSLC). Some 7% of the visually impaired had completed Tertiary Education. Figure 2 represents the highest level of formal education of the non-visually impaired participants and figure 3 represents the highest level of formal education of visually impaired participants.

Causes of Visual Impairment

The causes of visual impairment differed from respondent to respondent. The named conditions responsible for the visual impairment among the 86 visually impaired participants ranged from retinal...
Table 2. Age and Gender Distribution of Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 – 20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 – 30</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 – 40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 – 50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 – 60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 – 70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71- 80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Visual acuity and Degree of Visual Impairment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presenting VA</th>
<th>Degree of Impairment</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/6 – 6/18</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less than 6/18 – 6/60</td>
<td>Moderate Visual Impairment</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less than 6/60 – 3/60</td>
<td>Severe Visual Impairment</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less than 3/60 – NPL</td>
<td>Blind</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>294</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
diseases, refractive error, cataract, glaucoma and corneal diseases. Some of the visually impaired participants had no knowledge of the underlying cause of their visual impairment. Figure 4 represents the various causes of visual impairments among the study participants.

**General Quality of Life of Participants**

Interviewing the participants revealed a quality of life rating between “poor” to “very good”. Approximately 54% of the visually impaired rated their quality of life as “good” whereas 17% rated their quality as “poor”. Information of participant’s quality of life is represented in table 5.

**Influence of Visual Impairment on Quality of Life**

Analysis of the mean scores from the WHO QoLBref administered to the participants, revealed differences in the impact of vision impairment on quality of life among the participants. The participants with no visual impairment had a mean score 81 out of the possible total score of 100 on the physical domain of quality of life. The mean score of those with visual impairment was 69%. Thus, an unadjusted decrement in quality of life of 12% was observed.

Similarly, those with no visual impairment had a mean of 75% on the psychological domain of life whereas the visually impaired had a mean of 69%. Scores on the social domain showed that persons with no impairment of vision again obtained a mean of 75% of the possible total score on whilst the visually impaired vision had 69% mean score on this domain – yielding an unadjusted decrement in quality of life of 6%. The Environmental domain average quality of life score of the non-visually impaired was 69% whereas that of the visually impaired persons was 63%. For all of the four domains studied, differences in QoL was significant (p < 0.001) Table 6 summarizes these findings.

**Table 6. Effect of Visual Impairment on Quality of Life (QoL) scores**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO QOL Bref domains</th>
<th>Persons with Normal</th>
<th>Persons with Visual Impairment</th>
<th>Percentage reduction in QoL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEAN</td>
<td>MEAN</td>
<td>MEAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall quality of life</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Quality of life rating among participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality of Life Rating</th>
<th>Non-visually Impaired</th>
<th>Visually Impaired</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Poor</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>6 (7.0%)</td>
<td>6 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Poor nor Good</td>
<td>16 (7.7%)</td>
<td>32 (37.2%)</td>
<td>48 (16.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>140 (67.3%)</td>
<td>46 (53.5%)</td>
<td>186 (63.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>52 (25.0%)</td>
<td>2 (2.3%)</td>
<td>54 (18.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>208 (100.0%)</td>
<td>86 (100.0%)</td>
<td>294 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Highest level of formal education of the non-visually impaired participants

Figure 3: Highest level of formal education of the visually impaired participants
We also detected that, mean QoL scores declined with the severity of visual impairment. Respondents with the defined “normal” visual acuity (6/6 – 6/18) scored a higher mean on the QoL assessment whereas those with < 3/60 visual acuity up to NPL and worse scored the lowest mean QoL score.

Table 7 shows this observation

### Table 7. Severity of Visual Impairment vs. Quality of Life (QoL) Score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Presenting VA</th>
<th>Degree of Impairment</th>
<th>Mean QOL score (20)</th>
<th>Mean QOL score (100)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/6 - 6/18</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6/18 - 6/60</td>
<td>Moderate Visual Impairment</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6/60 - 3/60</td>
<td>Severe Visual Impairment</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3/60 - NPL</td>
<td>Blind</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We recorded a higher number of males (53.1%) than females. This probably translates into our finding that most of the visually impaired were males. While this was what we found, a study conducted in an Indian aged population, on the impact of impaired vision and eye disease on quality of showed the contrary; 56.5% of the visually impaired in that study populations were females, with the others being males.

A significant difference in age was realized between participants who were visually impaired and participants who were not visually impaired. The mean age of “normal” sighted participants was 34.31 ± 13.08 and that of the visually impaired participants was 53.74 ±18.43. Though it may prove challenging to explain this finding, a possible inference that could be drawn to account for this observation is that most of the causes of visual impairment – glaucoma, cataract and other retinopathies are commoner among people of in the fourth to fifth decades of life and beyond. It is interesting to note that most studies on the impact of visual impairment on the quality of life reviewed were carried out in the elderly population aged 40 years and above because visual impairment is generally known or assumed to be a problem of the elderly.

However, visual impairment in childhood has far reaching implication for the affected child since the child will live with the impairment for a greater period of time. Thus and throughout life, the visual impairment will profoundly affect the influences educational, employment, personal and social prospects of the individual (child) in question. In this study, 23% of the
visually impaired were younger than 40 years and therefore future research on the impact of visual impairment on quality of life must not exclude them.

Visual impairment is more prevalent in the elderly population especially after the age of 50 years. According to WHO, about 65% of all people who are visually impaired are aged 50 years and older. The observation that a greater percentage of 60.47% of the visually impaired were aged 50 years or greater in this study is in line with WHO reports. It was found that the proportion of the visually impaired participants increased with increasing age. Most of the visually impaired participants (25.6%) were within the age group of 61 and 70 years.

Our study showed that, relative to adult participants with no visual impairment, a greater proportion of adult participants with visual impairment were not working. Similarly, a study carried out in the US to assess the impact of unilateral and bilateral visual impairment and its severity on health-related quality of life in Latinos 40 years and older revealed that a greater proportion of the visually impaired were unemployed as compared to the visually impaired. This is expected due to the visual requirements of many occupations. Every occupation requires some level of good vision in order to perform functions effectively at the workplace. A high level of unemployment among the visually impaired further negatively impacts their quality of life since they do not make any income and become financially dependent on family to cater for themselves and to buy any medication and low vision aids that can help improve their quality of life. The importance of taking steps to prevent visual impairment should be a public health priority.

This study determined that, on the average, people with visual impairment were less educated than those with no visual impairment. Consistent with our study, were two different investigations which reported that level of education was likely to be affected by visual impairment with lower number of years of formal education among the visually impaired. The exact association between level of education and visual impairment could not be revealed since we do not know the age of onset of the visual impairment and the presence of other factors like age, gender and socioeconomic status that influences level of education.

Further, our study found causes of visual impairment similar to what others have reported. The major causes of visual impairment were cataract (32.6%), glaucoma (27.9%) and refractive error (16.3%). A study done on cataract in Wenchi district, Ghana shows that it is a leading cause of visual impairment. That study showed that cataract (62.5%) was the most common cause of blindness in individuals aged 30 years and older. Studies done in China, Indonesia and Ethiopia have also shown that cataract was the leading cause of low vision, accounting for 49.83%, 61.30% and 55.00% in China, Indonesia and Ethiopia respectively. According to reports from WHO, the principal causes of visual impairment globally are uncorrected refractive errors and cataracts, 43% and 33% respectively. Other causes are glaucoma, 2%, age related macular degeneration (AMD), diabetic retinopathy, trachoma and corneal opacities, all about 1%.

We also found that as many as 37.5% and 15.4% of the participants with normal vision had refractive error and glaucoma respectively. This shows that management of the eye disease or condition can prevent visual impairment and its impact on the quality of life. It is important though that this “normal” or no visual impairment group is monitored closely, as operational definition for vision impairment encompasses people with visual acuity of 6/6 – 6/18 in the better eye. Some are close to the 6/18 end of the spectrum thus making them borderline visual impairment candidates.

Quality of life was measured by self-report; we used the generic WHOQoL-Bref rather than a vision-
specific questionnaire. We chose this tool because it has particular utility in a population in which studies of quality of life have been rarely conducted and is designed for use across diverse cultures. By allowing assessment to be made in four life domains, the instrument provides an opportunity to examine the specific impacts of visual impairment in these areas.

As presented in the results, our study demonstrates that visual impairment is associated with a significant decrease in quality of life. The impact of visual impairment on quality of life was all encompassing, with significant reductions in the physical, psychological, social as well as environmental domains, and accounting for 7.5% unadjusted reduction in the overall quality of life of the participants in this sample. The decrement in quality of life was greatest in the environmental domain which indicates that visual impairment greatly affects our capacity for work, ability to get around and dependency on medication. A comparable study in Nigeria found an association of visual impairment with reduced quality of life among the aged 65 years and older. They explored the impact of distance and near visual impairment on quality of life separately. While the effect of distance visual impairment was limited to quality of life in the environmental domain, that of near visual impairment affected all the four domains. The reduction in quality of life scores for distant visual impairment was only remarkable in the environmental domains since affected older persons would be less able to move about and socialize.

We also detected intragroup differences in terms of how the participants rated their quality of life (table 5). This finding should be considered in the light of the subjectivity of the individual participants in terms how they interpret and experience each of the elements in the four domains of quality of life studies. By this, it for example, proves challenging to explain why “67.3%” of the visually impaired rated quality of life as “good” while 25.0% rated quality of life as “very good” for them.

Moreover, this study predictably showed a distinct pattern of greater reduction in quality of life with increasing severity of visual impairment. Compared to participants with moderate visual impairment, participants with severe visual impairment had significantly lower QoL score which was lowest among the blind participants. One other study found that impact of visual impairment on quality of life was profound in people with poorer vision. Similarly, it was observed in a large population-based study of Mexican Americans that there were steeper decreases in scores for driving, distance vision, and mental health with worsening vision. Such findings further substantiate the view that the impact of visual impairment on quality of life is determined by the severity of the impairment.

Other reports have ranked that vision loss is as one of the world’s major causes of loss of wellbeing. These reports have also indicated that limitations in the performance of desired tasks bring about frustrations which can consequently lead to depression. This finding further agrees with what is reported in one other similar study. This latter study indicated that most low vision patients worried about the effect of the visual impairment on their quality of life.

Additional reduction in quality of life was observed when participants indicated that they were frustrated, had less control over what they do, relied on others a lot and also needed more help from others in the performance of desired tasks. As a result of this, the visually impaired accomplished less than they desired to. This clearly points to the fact that, generally, the visually impaired is not satisfied with the heights they reach in activities they perform. Generally, for a person to accomplish something, it may be required that the individual works longer and harder than what he/she can normally do. Results show that their visual
impairment affected the attainment of their desired goals. This was also realized from the findings of this study. It can thus be said that, the issue of visual impairment and its consequences cannot be relegated to the affected individuals only. If these individuals are relying on others and requiring more help from other people in their homes, work places and schools and wherever they find themselves, it then implies the active involvement of the family, friends, colleagues and schoolmates, the community and entire society. It has also been reported that there existed significant individual, public health, and community consequences of visual impairment for people caring for and assisting the visually impaired 38, 39.

CONCLUSION

It suffices to say that, visual impairment affects the quality of life of the participants of this study. The reduction in the quality of life in the physical, environmental, social and psychological domains of their lives was invariably significant. Quality of life was severely affected when there was remarkable vision impairment. We realized that visual impairment was a problem of both the younger and aged participants.

Recommendation

Visual impairment should be treated as an issue of major public concern. Efforts should be made to include quality of life assessment in the care protocol for the visually impaired. This will help in effective rehabilitation of the visually impaired, maximizing the residual vision and helping to enjoy life and to perform everyday tasks to the optimum. We further encourage future studies to include participants belonging to a wide age range and presenting with different causes of visual impairment. This will provide useful insight into how quality of life may be affected in visual impairment.
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