



JOURNAL OF PUBLIC HEALTH INTERNATIONAL
ISSN NO: coming soon

Editorial

DOI: 10.14302/issn.2641-4538.jphi-18-1975

Electrospun Biopolyesters: Hydrophobic Scaffolds with Favorable Biological Response

Pedram Azari^{1,3}, Samira Hosseini^{2*}, Belinda Pinguan Murphy³, Sergio O. Martinez-Chapa²

 ¹Centre for Applied Biomechanics, Faculty of Engineering, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia
 ²Escuela de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Tecnologico de Monterrey, Ave. Eugenio Garza Sada 2501, Monterrey 64849, NL, Mexico
 ³Department of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia



Editorial Note

A scaffold is defined as a 3D porous material that features characteristics such as biocompatibility, and biodegradability to serve as a temporary platform for tissue regeneration. While hydrophilicity was not initially mentioned as one of the requirements for scaffolding materials, the success of bio-derived hydrophilic natural polymers e.g. collagen, gelatin, won great acclaims for hydrophilic scaffolds [1]. However, more recent application of hydrophobic electrospun biopolyesters in tissue engineering provides an opportunity to look at this matter from a different perspective and identify to the suitability of hydrophobic scaffold materials as well.

For the last two decades, electrospun biopolyesters have been an important class of scaffolding materials offering the advantages of

electrospinning and biocompatibility of polyesters in one package. Electrospinning is a direct and versatile method that has the flexibility to be applied for fiber fabrication from various biopolymers [2]. It produces morphologies and dimensions similar to those of natural extracellular matrix (ECM) [3], an interwoven random fibrous structure of collagen and elastin with diameters ranging from tens to hundreds nanometers creating a matrix with considerable surface area for biomolecular interactions [4,5]. The morphological similarity of the electrospun scaffolds to natural ECMs facilitates cell seeding, adhesion, proliferation, and cell differentiation [4,6]. Two other common features of electrospun fibers is their high surface area to volume ratio and their porosity, which can lead to infiltration of scaffolds resulting cells into in stronger cell attachment [7]. The large surface area of the scaffolds is favorable for loading bioactive materials within the







matrix [8] while the porous nature of the electrospun scaffolds facilitates food absorption and waste release by the cells [9].

Componential aspects of the scaffold materials are also of great significance. An ideal scaffold mimics the natural morphological and componential properties of an ECM [4]. Biopolyesters are attractive materials for scaffolding applications, mainly due to their relatively low cost of synthesis, ease of degradation, and the ability to tailor their degradation rate through changes in the structure. This class of polymers degrade through hydrolysis of the ester bonds, producing non-toxic degradation products [10].

Polycaprolactone (PCL), polylactic acid (PLA), poly3-hydroxybutyrate (PHB), and its copolymer with hydroxvalerate (PHBV) are the most popular commercially available biopolyesters that have been successfully electrospun and produced promising platforms for tissue engineering applications. All these materials are aliphatic linear polyesters produced from renewable resources. Their rheological and viscoelastic properties facilitate processing and fabrication of them into desired morphologies [3]. Electrospun scaffolds based on these biopolyesters were successfully used for various types of cells including stem cells [11], osteoblasts [12], dermal fibroblasts [13], chondrocytes [14], keratocytes [15], vascular [16], and neural tissue [17]. Figure 1 shows laser microscope representation of the surface, water contact angle, and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) of PHB electrospun fibers seeded with keratocytes as an example for biopolyesters. All these materials in the form of electrospun membranes exhibit hydrophobic behavior when analyzed by water contact angle (WCA) (Fig. 1.b, $\theta \sim 120^{\circ}$). This behavior is mainly due to the chemical structure of the biopolyesters and the absence of hydrophilic functional groups as well as relative roughness of the electrospun surfaces, and the air trapped in the micropores of fibrous network [18]. Electrospun materials, due to their fibrous nature, typically possess rough surfaces. In surface topography analysis, a rough surface has deviations and irregularities compared to a smooth surface [19]. While surface roughness, in a general sense, improves the cellular response to the substrate for higher availability

of the surface area [20], hydrophobicity has mainly been considered as a less favorable attribute for the scaffolding materials [21]. Hydrophobic materials tend to exhibit poor wettability and cell attachment as well as uncontrolled biological interactions. Therefore, several methods have been considered to rectify these shortcomings and to introduce a more hydrophilic nature to the materials. These suggested modification techniques can be divided in four categories: plasma treatment, alkaline treatment, coating, and blending with other bioactive materials [3].

Despite being hydrophobic and contrary to the expectation, electrospun biopolyesters have proven to be capable of promoting cell growth with desirable proliferation rates [15]. Volger et al. reported that hydrophilic surfaces with contact angle of θ < 65° that do not lead to high level of cell attachment efficiency [22]. Similar reports suggested that fibroblast cells indicate maximum attachment at contact angles between 60° and 80° [23]. These results imply that a certain level of hydrophobicity can encourage and facilitate cell attachment and growth. This could be explained through protein adsorption and transient hydrogen bonding mechanisms. Attachment of the cells to the scaffold happens through a sequence of physicochemical reactions in which protein adsorption plays a key role as mediator for cell adhesion. Adsorbed proteins to the scaffold provide the signal to the cells through adhesion receptors for which a series of cytoplasmic, transmembranal, and extracellular proteins are required [23]. It is well-known that moderate hydrophobic surfaces are capable of better absorption of proteins in comparison to the hydrophilic surfaces, which have more resistina forces at the surface [24-27]. The phenomenon could be due to unique physico-chemical properties of the water. As the main component in culture media, water has a unique self-association property. A water molecule has two hydrogen bond acceptors (unshared electron pairs of oxygen) and two hydrogen bond donors (protons) allowing the molecule to associate with maximum four neighboring molecules. Since these associations are relatively weak and almost equivalent to the energy level transferred from one molecule to another neighboring molecule, the hydrogen bonds possess a







Fig. 1. Electrospinning setup for fabrication of randomly deposited fibers (a); Water-in-air contact angle for untreated electrospun PHB fibers (b, $\theta = 128^{\circ}$); 3D confocal laser microscopic image of PHB with average roughness of S_a = 11.02 µm (c); and Electrospun PHB fibers seeded with rabbit keratocytes showing a successful cell attachment (d).



transient nature. The presence of Lewis acid/base sites on hydrophilic surfaces suppresses self-association of water molecules as they enter a competition through hydrogen bonding resulting in presence of repulsive forces at the interface while surfaces with $\theta \ge 65^{\circ}$ can establish a wider range of attractive forces [22].

Although the natural hydrophobicity of the electrospun biopolyesters is higher than the reported optimum numbers for scaffolding materials, it has been proven that relative hydrophobicity can offer rather more favorable platforms for such applications. As mentioned earlier, several surface modification methods are also available for treatment of super-hydrophobic biopolyester candidates to reduce the hydrophobicity [3]. Furthermore, WCA \approx 120° applies to unprocessed electrospun biopolyester. The general practice of scaffold preparation for cell culturing involves various wet processing steps including sterilization with ethanol, washing with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and soaking in culturing medium [28]. These wet processing steps can cause a significant reduction in WCA, providing promising cell-scaffold interactions even on the unmodified electrospun biopolyesters.

While not discrediting the previous understanding in regard to hydrophilic polymeric scaffolds, this short note suggests that surfaces with moderate hydrophilicity could be optimal platforms for tissue engineering applications. In conclusion, electrospun biopolyesters should be considered within the unique family of scaffolding materials that offers desirable features for tissue engineering with addressable shortcomings.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of Tecnologico de Monterrey, Mexico, for the special grant (grant number: 002EICII01) awarded to the Nano Sensors and Devices Focus Group, School of Engineering and Sciences, Tecnologico de Monterrey, Monterrey, Mexico.

References

1. O'Brien, F.J., Biomaterials & scaffolds for tissue engineering. Materials Today, 2011. 14(3): p. 88-95.

- Akram, H., et al., An in-vitro study on the proliferative potential of rat bone marrow stem cells on electrospun fibrous polycaprolactone scaffolds containing micro-hydroxyapatite particles. Materials Research Innovations, 2014. 18(S6): p. 520-524.
- Cipitria, A., et al., Design, fabrication and characterization of PCL electrospun scaffolds-a review. Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2011. 21 (26): p. 9419-9453.
- Wang, X., B. Ding, and B. Li, Biomimetic electrospun nanofibrous structures for tissue engineering. Materials Today, 2013. 16(6): p. 229-241.
- Sathy, B.N., et al., Designing Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering. Translating Biomaterials for Bone Graft: Bench-top to Clinical Applications, 2017.
- Liang, D., B.S. Hsiao, and B. Chu, Functional electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds for biomedical applications. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2007. 59(14): p. 1392-412.
- Wu, J. and Y. Hong, Enhancing cell infiltration of electrospun fibrous scaffolds in tissue regeneration. Bioactive Materials, 2016. 1(1): p. 56-64.
- Azari, P., et al., Improved processability of electrospun poly[(R)-3-hydroxybutyric acid] through blending with medium-chain length poly(3-hydroxyalkanoates) produced by Pseudomonas putida from oleic acid. Materials Research Innovations, 2014. 18(S6): p. 345-349.
- 9. Kroeze, R.J., et al., Biodegradable Polymers in Bone Tissue Engineering. Materials, 2009. 2(3): p. 833-856.
- Gunatillake, P.A. and R. Adhikari, Biodegradable synthetic polymers for tissue engineering. Eur Cell Mater, 2003. 5(1): p. 1-16; discussion 16.
- Phipps, M.C., et al., Mesenchymal stem cell responses to bone-mimetic electrospun matrices composed of polycaprolactone, collagen I and nanoparticulate hydroxyapatite. PLoS One, 2011. 6 (2): p. e16813.
- 12. Rozila, I., et al., Differential osteogenic potential of human adipose-derived stem cells co-cultured with human osteoblasts on polymeric microfiber







scaffolds. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A, 2016. 104(2): p. 377-387.

- Lowery, J.L., N. Datta, and G.C. Rutledge, Effect of fiber diameter, pore size and seeding method on growth of human dermal fibroblasts in electrospun poly (ε-caprolactone) fibrous mats. Biomaterials, 2010. 31(3): p. 491-504.
- Li, W.J., et al., Biological response of chondrocytes cultured in three-dimensional nanofibrous poly (ε-caprolactone) scaffolds. Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A, 2003. 67(4): p. 1105-1114.
- Azari, P., et al., Electrospun Biopolyesters as Drug Screening Platforms for Corneal Keratocytes. International Journal of Polymeric Materials and Polymeric Biomaterials, 2015. 64(15): p. 785-791.
- Vaz, C., et al., Design of scaffolds for blood vessel tissue engineering using a multi-layering electrospinning technique. Acta biomaterialia, 2005. 1(5): p. 575-582.
- Ghasemi-Mobarakeh, L., et al., Electrospun poly (ε-caprolactone)/gelatin nanofibrous scaffolds for nerve tissue engineering. Biomaterials, 2008. 29 (34): p. 4532-4539.
- Hosseini, S., et al., Polymethacrylate Coated Electrospun PHB Fibers as a Functionalized Platform for Bio-Diagnostics: Confirmation Analysis on the Presence of Immobilized IgG Antibodies against Dengue Virus. Sensors, 2017. 17(10): p. 2292.
- Grasselli, G., J. Wirth, and P. Egger, Quantitative three-dimensional description of a rough surface and parameter evolution with shearing. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 2002. 39(6): p. 789-800.
- Deligianni, D.D., et al., Effect of surface roughness of hydroxyapatite on human bone marrow cell adhesion, proliferation, differentiation and detachment strength. Biomaterials, 2000. 22(1): p. 87-96.
- Place, E.S., et al., Synthetic polymer scaffolds for tissue engineering. Chemical Society Reviews, 2009. 38(4): p. 1139-1151.

- Vogler, E.A., Water and the acute biological response to surfaces. Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition, 1999. 10(10): p. 1015-1045.
- Chang, H.-I. and Y. Wang, Cell responses to surface and architecture of tissue engineering scaffolds, in Regenerative medicine and tissue engineering-cells and biomaterials. 2011, InTech.
- Xu, L.-C. and C.A. Siedlecki, Effects of surface wettability and contact time on protein adhesion to biomaterial surfaces. Biomaterials, 2007. 28(22): p. 3273-3283.
- 25. Chen, S., et al., Biomimetic honeycomb-patterned surface as the tunable cell adhesion scaffold. Biomaterials science, 2015. 3(1): p. 85-93.
- Fairman, C., et al., Protein resistance of surfaces modified with oligo (ethylene glycol) aryl diazonium derivatives. ChemPhysChem, 2013. 14(10): p. 2183-2189.
- Yeh, C.C., et al., Universal Bioinert Control of Polystyrene Interfaces via Hydrophobic-Driven Self-Assembled Surface PEGylation with a Well-Defined Block Sequence. Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics, 2017. 218(19).
- Shearer, H., et al., Effects of common sterilization methods on the structure and properties of poly (D, L lactic-co-glycolic acid) scaffolds. Tissue engineering, 2006. 12(10): p. 2717-2727.